

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8 * * *

9 CARL BRADLEY,

Case No. 2:13-cv-01196-RFB-GWF

10 Petitioner,

ORDER

11 v.

12 DWIGHT NEVEN, et al.,

13 Respondents.

14 This is a 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas action. Respondents move for clarification of
15 the court's scheduling order dated October 25, 2017 (ECF No. 73). Good cause
16 appearing,

17 **IT IS ORDERED** that respondents' motion for clarification (ECF No. 73) is
18 **GRANTED.**

19 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that respondents shall file a response to the amended
20 petition, including potentially a motion to dismiss, within **forty-five (45) days** of the date
21 of this order, with any requests for relief by petitioner by motion otherwise being subject
22 to the normal briefing schedule under the local rules. Any response filed shall comply
23 with the remaining provisions below, which are entered pursuant to Habeas Rule 5.

24 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, in any answer filed on the merits, respondents
25 shall specifically cite to and address the applicable state court written decision and state
26 court record materials, if any, regarding each claim within the response as to that claim.

27 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that petitioner shall have **sixty (60) days** from service
28 of the answer, motion to dismiss, or other response to file a reply or opposition, with any

1 other requests for relief by respondents by motion otherwise being subject to the normal
2 briefing schedule under the local rules.

3
4 DATED: 7 December 2017.

5
6 
7 RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28